

Q U A N T U M   L I B E T  
(The W.S.C.C. Student Body Newsletter)

Vol. III

No. 3

Editor, Gail Keilman

\* \* \* \* \*

CHRISTMAS IS 'SHARING' AT WSCC

by Tom Ruckman

This Christmas became a delightful experience for all those who took part in our Christmas Tree Trim Party. With a number of activities, this years seasonal greeting from WSCC was focused on 30 children from the Portland area. Ranging in age from 8 to 11 years, these children, lesser privileged than many of us, found the festivities of that evening a very happy time for them.

Those attending were students, faculty and many welcome doctors with their families, all sharing together to bring a Christmas to these children. The evening embarked with a potluck dinner and continued with carols directed by our own vocalist, Tom Richards. Then topping it off was a tree donated by Lewis A. Mello for the traditional trimming, which was successfully done by the children.

Finally, Santa Claus came to WSCC (by way of the chimney from our only stove in the kitchen, I believe) bringing each child a jubilant gift, and sending them home with a truly Merry Christmas.

With many thanks to all who came and to the Freshman Program Committee, Sharon Tucker, Chairman, Tom Richards, Hal Hazelett, and Jess and Maureen McDonnell.

# # # # #

SCHOLARSHIP FINALISTS ANNOUNCED

The Florida sunshine broke through the Oregon rain January 11th when 3 students were selected from student body competition by the WSCC faculty to receive Rabb Foundation Scholarships. The recipients were: Sophomore Rick Strom and Freshmen Art Luethe and Philip Barnett.

# # # # #

THIEVERY CONCERNS WSCC

by Mike Lang

A subject that demands some attention at WSCC is the recent incidents of stealing.

A few young individuals who frequent the college neighborhood are responsible. Their actions have not been limited to the College, but the College is our primary concern.

Students can help discourage stealing by watching their own belongings closely as well as items belonging to the College.

It may be necessary to initiate a policy of locking the rear door if the thievery continues. Do not fail to alert the faculty if you observe any suspicious activity around the College. Let's put a stop to this annoying, unnecessary type of harassing activity!!!

# # # # #

EDITORIAL:WHY I DECIDED NOT TO GO STRAIGHT

Along with most other Chiropractic students, my time in college has given me, in addition to my academic courses, varying amounts of exposure to ICA thinking and, naturally, ACA views as well. After much cerebral subluxation, I have attempted to outline the areas in which the ICA pitch doesn't hold water for me because my mind demands consistency. I find conflict and illogic in ICA. For example, when ICA says we must adopt a legally defensible position, they mean their opinion of legally defensible based upon an old court decision (which is subject to re-interpretation or reversal, of course).

As I understand it, we must be 100% unique to survive...no duplication. Alright, I then check some obvious facts of life. Do M.D.s and osteopaths overlap, do podiatrists and medical orthopedists overlap, do dental oral surgeons and medical oral surgeons overlap, do optomet-

W.S.C.C. SUPPORTS YOUR PROFESSION ---

GIVE IT YOUR SUPPORT \$ \$

rists and ophthalmologists overlap? Obviously, yes. Do they survive? Again, obviously, yes. So you see I must seriously doubt this ICA contention that pristine uniqueness is essential to our survival.

From here the ICA expands it's "logic", telling me I cannot use vitamins because I don't really know proper dosages - and besides then people won't attribute any change to the adjustment. Well, as is well known, we are aware of the few vitamins that may be given in excess. Most of the rest will be absorbed as needed with the balance excreted. The statement at the ICA meeting in Salem, that I'm not as able as a hippy at some health food store to suggest a supplement when indicated is insulting and untrue. As far as credit for the patient's recovery goes, that concern is vanity...ego. As for me, my central concern is helping people. If a nutritional change is required, I will, of course, first attempt to have them improve their basic diet. However, I'm practical enough to know that there are people who will not change their eating habits, but are still accessible since they are programmed to take medication orally. Rather than discard these people, I would feel compelled to suggest appropriate supplements. It would be immoral to do otherwise.

I feel ICA's rejection of aspects of typical chiropractic practice, other than adjusting is made necessary because of their oversimplification of the chiropractic principle. Our founder didn't say... health comes from above, down, inside out. He did say disease was caused by traumatism, toxins and autosuggestion. That makes sense to me. The language is dated, but that covers a lot of ground. Perhaps all the factors that might cause a vertebral lesion or cord pressure, unless other worldly powers are considered.

ICA superciliously calls mixer "therapists", "symptom treaters", "paramedics". I would say in reply, if a straight adjusts a lesion that

reflexly reflects an existing and continuing irritation of any kind, (enteric, mental, emotional) then he is most certainly treating a symptom and not the initiating cause. And I would further contend that adjustment will not hold, ~~that~~ pathology will not heal regardless of how long we continue to break the circuit - until the initiating, continuing cause is removed. I'll not argue that adjustments in many cases are enough. Just not all cases. In oversimplifying the insights of keen observer of man, ICA has created a stance that required rejection of everything beyond hands on the spine, since accepting the significance of these factors would require restatement of what seems to have become a religious tenet. Apparently, ICA seems preoccupied in their stated feeling of the need for us to be completely different from other healing arts. Being not too far removed from the lay attitude, I can tell you that our uniqueness exists mostly in our own minds. People think we work on backs (sorry, they forget the nervous system since for so long we've defined ourselves by method accomplished). Most of them could care less if we dispense vitamins or use a heat lamp. You see, they don't know that these things are, in some way, connected with the despised medic. They don't know that these are part of the evil "therapies". All they seek is help, and they'll remember where they get it whether you have a vitamin bottle on your shelf or not.

My first hand knowledge of modalities so strongly condemned by the ICA camp is limited as I've not had any classes yet nor begun my clinic experience. However, I do know this. Most of them have been around for quite a while, and they survive for some reason. As far as their safety goes, I'm aware that the misuse of some of the devices can cause damage. So is the misuse of electricity, the motor car or the horse potentially dangerous. Yet we don't discard them, we learn to use them correctly. Then I hear

W.S.C.C. SUPPORTS YOUR PROFESSION ---

GIVE IT YOUR SUPPORT \$ \$

the ICA refrain that use of modalities is a source of attack from the medic. In any anti-chiropractic propaganda I've yet to read, the diapulse and the infrared and ultraviolet are not the targets of the attack. The medic does zero in on the old gadgets of chiropractic origin and the over-simplified disease theory that I hear spouted at ICA meetings.

D.D. once wrote: "The fundamental principles of chiropractic are founded on anatomy, pathology, physiology and nerve tracing". I believe this is true. Why then should we turn our backs on science - a science that can explain our thesis well, and retreat to a metaphysical concept that can not be defended. Certainly not in a Court of Law. Our founder also said: "The last word has not been written in Chiropractic". By what right does ICA presume to say that it has, in theory, if not in technique. What gives the ICA member the right to sanctimoniously condemn the bulk of our profession - honest men? I feel this kind of vitriol affects the originator as much as the target. The trait of branding these men "paramedics" and "therapists" is McCarthyism pure and simple.

Another cliché constantly appearing in ICA lexicon is the denigrating reference to the "educated mind". Something apparently not to be trusted since it is but a frail, fallible expression of the flesh. Strange, we decided to be chiropractors with our minds, we learn chiropractic with our minds. D.D. reached the conclusions that led to the birth of our profession with his self-"educated mind". Does this mean we trust just a little part of our educated mind and its reason but not the rest? I'd hardly know where to draw the line, I'm afraid.

I don't know if minor surgery, proctology, O.B., etc. are destined to be functional parts of chiropractic or not. They're certainly secondary

in my interests. But, I believe that chiropractic in its totality is what it is becoming, not what it was. And I believe it has become and is becoming less liberal than WSCC nationally, but more liberal than ICA. These appear to me as facts of life, and I shall act on that basis until my information changes.

by ART SMART

# # # # #

#### THE VOICE OF GOLD

January 8 and 9, along with 11 other student ICA members, and 6 of their wives, I attended a 2 day "Pure Gold" Seminar, by Dr. Reginald Gold at the Thunderbird Jantzen Motor Inn. This Seminar included a philosophy lecture, a lay lecture, a lecture on the educating of the Chiropractic patient.

It was my pleasure that Dr. Gold, one of the leading Chiropractors in the world, consented to spend 2 hours of his valuable time to talk with me.

A few months ago, Dr. Gold gave one day of his busy lecturing schedule to speak to the students and faculty of WSCC. In response to his lecture, the Student Body Vice-President, Michael Lang, wrote a biased editorial which he published in the Quantum Libet and more recently in the ACA Journal of Chiropractic. I felt it only fair to ask Dr. Gold for his views on this obviously biased editorial. Dr. Gold stated:

"The article was certainly untrue, and it seems a shame to me that such irresponsible journalism should be allowed at a Chiropractic college. What is worse, is that this misrepresentation, this misquotation, was easily avoidable. There were many tape recorders present when I spoke, and it would seem to me, the responsibility of the editors of the paper and of the school itself, which is responsible for the paper, to listen to the tape recordings, and question whether or not the writer of that article was giving an accurate

W.S.C.C. SUPPORTS YOUR PROFESSION ---

GIVE IT YOUR SUPPORT \$ \$

or even a fair representation of what I said. It is quite obvious that he either misheard or deliberately misquoted several things that I said. For a young student who hasn't even got his feet wet in Chiropractic, to attack one of the Leaders of this profession, without even giving an opportunity for fair quotation, and for that action to be sustained by a College, and then by a "Journal of the ACA", which is supposedly a responsible publication, without checking any of the facts, is a classic example of irresponsible journalism, and under any other circumstances be grounds for a law suit and action for libel. The only reason I am not going on with the suit and action for libel, although this is certainly in my opinion a libelous statement and libelous deliberate misquote, is that I don't want to smear the name of Chiropractic in the eyes of the public.

"I think what should be done now is, if this student who wrote the article has any intellectual honesty at all, he should go back and listen to the tapes of what was actually said, and then publicly, in writing, admit his errors and his misquotes in the paper in which the article was originally published, and in the Journal of the ACA."

It is of particular note that Mike Lang seemed to take a personal interest in discrediting Dr. Gold. Apparently the issue of the Quantum Libet which contained his personal attack was not put out by the newspaper editor Ian Feldman, but was put out by Mike Lang, who acted as editor, and later added Ian's name. He then was apparently so impressed by his own journalistic prose that he misrepresented WSCC by sending his article to the ACA Journal without student body approval and without authorization from Junior ACA (since elections for Junior ACA offices were not held until a month later). Of note, also, is that, after the publication of Mike Lang's issue of Quantum Libet, Ian Feldman resigned as editor.

I feel the student body, as well as the faculty, have been insulted by the seemingly flagrant and high-handed manner in which Mike Lang published his editorial comments. I feel the school, as well as Mike Lang, owe Dr. Gold an apology, with the hope he might accept our invitation to return to WSCC very soon. Men like Dr. Gold are the backbone of our profession, and the more of us that "Get the Big Idea" and turn on the "Life Forces", the taller Chiropractic will stand.

by David Hastings,  
Palmer Transfer  
Student to WSCC

#### EDITORIAL COMMENT:

Space in the next issue of Q.L. will be provided for a rebuttal to this editorial, after which this controversy will not again be covered by Quantum Libet.

Gail Keilman

# # # # #

#### DOCTORS EXPRESS THEIR OPINIONS ON CHIROPRACTIC AND OBSTETRICS.

Oregon is faced with the question: Is obstetrics within the realm of Chiropractic?

To give readers of the Quantum Libet more insight into this question, students Eddie Mang and Dave Hastings were dispatched to interview Dr. Jack Daugherty and Dr. Reginald Gold. Following are the questions and answers from the doctors expressing their opinions:

EDDIE: Is the practice of obstetrics Chiropractic or is it a portion of a full health service which Chiropractic could become?

DR. Daugherty: The practice of obstetrics is not Chiropractic as defined by most state laws (adjustment of spine by hand only). The main objective of Chiropractic is to allow the body to respond and coordinate physiological activities. Therefore, any physiological activity is the concern of the Chiropractor. Child birth is a normal physiological function. In the actual delivery process, the obstetrical maneuver,

W.S.C.C. SUPPORTS YOUR PROFESSION ---  
GIVE IT YOUR SUPPORT \$ \$

surgical repair, change of abnormal fetal positions, etc. would be considered adjunctive therapy which Chiropractors could utilize.

EDDIE: Is Chiropractic obstetrics needed, above and beyond medical obstetrics?

DR. D.: There is a need, because Chiropractors deliver babies by natural and normal physiological methods. Since the medical profession continues to use harmful drugs which sometimes cause iatrogenic disease. The medical profession has not taken the responsibility in the area of natural childbirth, so I do not consider it out of order for Chiropractic to take the initiative. D.D. Palmer in 1910 said: "I have never considered it beneath my dignity to do anything to relieve human suffering".

EDDIE: Why are some Chiropractors against obstetrics?

DR. D.: For political reasons which break down to finances and work in Legislature.

EDDIE: What percentage of Chiropractors practice obstetrics in Oregon?

DR. D.: 10 percent.

EDDIE: Do you feel other colleges would like their state laws to allow obstetrics?

DR. D.: Yes. It would help give the students a practical approach to the O.E. classes taught.

EDDIE: Should Chiropractors continue to practice obstetrics?

DR. D.: Yes, because there is a demand for this service by the people.

#####

DAVE: Please Define Chiropractic.

DR. GOLD: Chiropractic is the restoration and maintenance of the integrity of the nervous system, by the correction of vertebral subluxations. That's where it starts, that's where it ends.

DAVE: Do you feel there is a need to expand away from the spine, as far as total health care for the patient?

DR. G.: Not only is there not a need, you can't logically do it, since chiropractic by definition is the restoration and maintenance of the integrity of the nervous system. The only place you can do this is on the spine. This is chiropractic, by definition, by intent, and by purpose. The only reason for the existence of chiropractic is that it deals with something that the rest of the world does not deal with. Once you start using therapies that everyone else is using, we have no reason to exist as a separate and distinct healing art. Why don't we just become medical doctors.

DAVE: In Oregon, we have a law allowing Chiropractors to practice obstetrics. Is this Chiropractic?

DR. G.: In the law you have obstetrics, but not in Chiropractic. What the law is doing is allowing the chiropractor to go outside the realm of Chiropractic. If Chiropractors would practice chiropractic properly, they would be so darn busy doing that, they wouldn't have time to pick up someone else's dirty laundry.

DAVE: As long as people have the choice of natural childbirth, and chiropractic is natural, shouldn't they be allowed to deliver babies.

DR. G.: No. Obstetrics has no relation to chiropractic. I am a great believer in natural childbirth, and certain members of the medical profession practice natural childbirth. Probably the best method of childbirth is without interference from anyone, including chiropractors.

DAVE: But a lot of the medics won't go for natural childbirth so people should have some place to go.

DR. G.: The people who are interested can go find one medical doctor that will. There are many medical doctors and hospitals that will encourage and endorse

and work with natural childbirth. It's totally removed from chiropractic as a practice. Chiropractic approves of natural childbirth, but that doesn't mean we deliver babies. Chiropractic approves of good nutrition, but that doesn't mean we sit down and feed people. Chiropractic approves of good exercise, but that doesn't mean we go out and exercise people.

DAVE: Do you know whether countries that have a high percent of home deliveries have a lower or higher mortality rate for the mother and child?

DR. G.: Yes, I do. Countries that have home deliveries, have lower rate of infant mortality, and a much lower rate of maternal mortality than the U.S. These figures come from the United Nations, which say the U.S. is 100th in maternal mortality among nations. The less hospitalization, the less doctors, the better chances for mother and child.

DAVE: Do any of your pregnant patients ask you where to be referred?

DR. G.: Yes, they do, and I tell them that this is outside my realm.

DAVE: So you don't refer them to any special type of doctor?

DR. G.: I don't refer. They ask me if I approve of natural childbirth, I say absolutely. Do I approve of drugs? No, I do not. Will I deliver their baby? Never!

# # # # #

ASTRO-PATHOLOGY I Astro Quack (Astro-Pathology will be a regular feature in Q.L. This column is NOT MEANT TO REPRESENT OR INTERPRET CHIROPRACTIC IN ANY WAY. But it should be of interest to students of natural healing as an interesting study.)

All the energy necessary for life to exist on earth reaches us via the heavenly bodies. Without the sun, moon, planets, and stars, the universe would be a lifeless black void. And since our physical bodies are made of the dust of the earth, the elements in our bodies react to the movements of the hea-

## CALENDAR OF EVENTS

Post-Graduate Classes in Physiotherapy. Instructor, Dr. Henry G. West, Jr. of Pocatello, Idaho.

January 29th and 30th

February 26th and 27th

March 25th and 26th

April 29th and 30th

X-Ray Seminars

Third week-end Jan. thru June

Children's Clinic - Sat. May 6

Graduation, May 26

Alumni Educational Seminar and

Banquet, May 27-28

venly bodies just as demonstrably as the tides follow the moon, and the seasons follow the relative movements of the sun. It has been said that man lives best by attuning himself to nature, and a man's body follows the rhythmic cycles of nature. Even in cities, man must follow a pattern of waking, sleeping, eating, excreting, etc. These movements, even time itself, is based upon the sun's apparent motion.

So, believing in a basic Unity that permeates all matter, and being that the sun, moon, planets, and stars are the only visible source of higher power, these heavenly bodies can be taken to represent various phases of man's existence. It must be emphasized strongly that the heavens only reflect, or represent, changes on earth--they do not cause anything.

"Our visible sun is but the mirror in which are reflected the rays of energy from the Spiritual Sun, the real Sun is as invisible as the real man." Chiropractors will recognize this statement as an affirmation of INNATE, and we also recognize that INNATE is a vast and mighty Unity, the Power that pervades and sustains the stars, the earth, and man--and brings all together harmoniously.

By the indications of the stars one can determine the type and duration of treatment necessary.

W.S.C.C. SUPPORTS YOUR PROFESSION ---

GIVE IT YOUR SUPPORT \$ \$ \$